

CREDIT OPINION

15 December 2017

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

Isaac Rauch +1.212.553.4346
Associate Lead Analyst
isaac.rauch@moody.com

Thomas Jacobs +1.212.553.0131
Senior Vice President
thomas.jacobs@moody.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653

Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077

Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Colchester (Town of) CT

Update to credit analysis

Summary

Colchester (Aa3) has a moderately-sized, primarily residential tax base in east-central Connecticut. The town had a \$59 million revenue budget in fiscal 2017 and has a recent history of surplus operations, reflecting conservative fiscal management. The town has low debt and pension burdens and very affordable fixed costs, but is exposed to fiscal stress at the state level because of its above-average reliance on state funding.

On December 15, Moody's confirmed the town's general obligation rating at Aa3. That action concluded the review for possible downgrade initiated on October, 16, 2017. The review was prompted by the [State of Connecticut's](#) (A1 stable) then ongoing budget impasse and the significant cuts in state funding to the town of Colchester in the executive order, which governed spending during the impasse. The rating confirmation reflected the town's stable financial operations, wealth, and financial flexibility, as well as its above average mill rate and elevated exposure to state funding.

Credit strengths

- » History of balanced financial operations
- » Recent growth in fund balance and liquidity
- » Minimal fixed costs
- » Demonstrated willingness to increase taxes

Credit challenges

- » State funding represents 33% of annual revenues
- » Already lean operating profile
- » Above average mill rate

Rating outlook

Moody's does not typically assign outlooks to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Continued growth in fund balance
- » Expansion of tax base

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Depletion of fund balance
- » Contraction of the tax base
- » Further cuts to state funding not offset by local revenues

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Colchester (Town of) CT	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$1,768,925	\$1,681,199	\$1,713,187	\$1,683,452	\$1,686,096
Population	16,044	16,119	16,143	16,130	16,130
Full Value Per Capita	\$110,255	\$104,299	\$106,126	\$104,368	\$104,532
Median Family Income (% of USMedian)	159.6%	162.5%	164.7%	164.7%	164.7%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$54,642	\$55,054	\$57,443	\$58,053	\$58,880
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$4,595	\$5,555	\$5,351	\$5,785	\$6,796
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$4,754	\$6,045	\$5,640	\$6,386	\$8,618
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	8.4%	10.1%	9.3%	10.0%	11.5%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	8.7%	11.0%	9.8%	11.0%	14.6%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$15,905	\$17,646	\$16,279	\$14,448	\$12,628
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$371	\$523	\$677	\$1,181	\$1,841
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.3x	0.3x	0.3x	0.2x	0.2x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.7%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	0.0x	0.0x	0.0x	0.0x	0.0x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%

As of June 30 fiscal year-end

Source: Moody's Investor's Service; Town's Annual Financial Statements

Profile

The Town of Colchester is in east-central Connecticut, at the intersection of Connecticut's Route 2 and Route 11. The population is approximately 16,143.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: stable tax base with above average wealth

Colchester's moderate \$1.7 billion, primarily residential tax base should remain stable in the near term, as management reports expansion among two of the town's major employers and small pockets of residential development. Including the results of a revaluation in fiscal 2016, the town's overall grand list has contracted 3.6% since 2010, a relatively modest decline compared to many Connecticut towns.

The town has raised its mill rate and levy in anticipation of the revaluation and to accommodate state funding uncertainty. Though the equalized mill rate is slightly above the median of Connecticut towns, Colchester believes it has further room to raise mill rates if necessary, as evidenced by recent voter authorization for new debt issuance.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Colchester's tax base is supplemented by a strong median family income, which is 164.7% of the national median, a stable population, and a low unemployment rate of 3.2%. A combined 450 residents are employed by three midsize manufacturers within the town, and two, Incord and Alpha Q, are expanding operations in Colchester.

Financial operations and reserves: healthy financial position supported by conservative budgeting

Colchester's healthy and improved financial position is likely to remain stable in the near term based on the town's credible plan for addressing reductions in state funding and conservative budgeting practices, which have yielded three years of surplus operations.

Colchester is exposed to fiscal instability on the state level through its annual intergovernmental funding, which made up an above average 33% of 2016 revenues. Including November cuts, the state reduced Colchester's state funding allocation by \$1.7 million (11.8%) in fiscal 2018, largely through a reduction in the Education Cost Sharing payment.

During the state budget impasse, the town achieved cost savings by instituting a budget freeze, leaving town and Board of Education positions unfilled, and holding its motor vehicle tax until a higher mill rate had been approved by the state legislature. The fiscal 2018 Board of Education budget has been modified to absorb approximately half the reduction in state funding. The town expects positive revenue variances and the application of approximately 3% of the available operating fund balance to fill the remaining gap. Reserves will not dip below 2016 levels because of the town's fiscal 2017 surplus.

Colchester's financial operations have generally been balanced, and the town has used surpluses to add to fund balance in recent years. Available operating fund balance at the end of fiscal 2016 was 11.5%, in line with rating category medians. The town has achieved favorable expenditure variances through cuts to its Board of Education budget. While the town may be challenged to increase savings in its already lean operating profile, the town expects recurring revenue enhancements through higher conveyance taxes and building permit fees, as well as improved collection of delinquent taxes.

LIQUIDITY

Cash and investments at the end of fiscal 2016 totaled \$8.6 million, or an average 14.6% of operating revenues.

Debt and pensions: minimal fixed costs due to low debt and pension burdens

The town's below average debt burden and affordable fixed cost profile will remain stable in the near future, as the town has no major debt plans and very few employees covered by its small pension and OPEB plans.

The town had \$12.6 million in debt outstanding at the end of fiscal 2016 and increased its debt load through a \$9.5 million school construction BAN issuance after the end of the 2016 fiscal year. The town expects to roll this BAN over the next few years to maintain flexibility, as the project is coming in under budget.

DEBT STRUCTURE

The entire debt portfolio is fixed rate and amortization is rapid, with 98.3% of principal to be retired within ten years. Debt service in fiscal 2016 represented a below average 3.9% of operating expenditures.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The town is not party to any interest rate swaps or other derivative agreements.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The town's minimal pension and OPEB obligations provide budget flexibility. The town has only one defined benefit plan, the Town of Colchester Police Department Retirement Plan, which is a now-closed single-employer plan covering 9 participants. In fiscal 2016, the town made \$105,755 in pension contributions to the plan and the three year average Moody's adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is a very modest \$2.8 million. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the town's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

For teachers, the town participates in the State of Connecticut Teachers Retirement System. Employer contributions to the TRS are covered by on-behalf payments made by the state, which totaled \$4.5 million in fiscal 2017. The town's share of the TRS ANPL as of fiscal 2016 was \$53.1 million, representing 3.1% of full value.

The town funds OPEB on a pay-as-you-go basis through the budget, which cost \$213,180 in fiscal 2016, representing 36% of the town's ARC. The total unfunded liability is \$2.3 million as of July 1, 2013, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation.

Total fixed costs in 2016 including debt service, retirement contributions and retiree healthcare payments were \$2.6 million or a very low 4.4% of operating expenditures.

Management and governance: Cost-controls implemented by forward-looking management

Town fiscal management is strong, as evidenced by recent surpluses, expenditure controls, and a credible plan for addressing reductions in state funding.

Connecticut towns and cities have an Institutional Framework score of Aa, which is high compared to the nation. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. Connecticut towns' major revenue source, property taxes, is not subject to any caps. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be minor, or under 5% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally greater than 25% of expenditures. Connecticut has public sector unions and additional constraints, which limit the ability to cut expenditures. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be minor, under 5% annually.

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454